

**Needs and Uses:** ROTC scholarship provides the Army with highly qualified men and women who desire to pursue a commission in the U.S. Army. The application and information provides the basis for the scholarship award.

**Affected Public:** Individuals or households.

**Annual Burden Hours:** 13,450.

**Number of Respondents:** 7,500.

**Responses Per Respondent:** 1.

**Average Burden Per Response:** 45 minutes.

**Frequency:** Annually.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The Army ROTC Program produces over 75 percent of the newly commissioned officers for the U.S. Army. The program must be able to attract quality men and women who will pursue college degrees from institutions of high learning throughout the United States in academic disciplines required to meet the needs of the Army. An ROTC scholarship is the major incentive for attracting and retaining outstanding students into Army ROTC.

Gregory D. Showalter,

*Army Federal Register Liaison Officer.*

[FR Doc. 96-9384 Filed 4-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-08-M

## Corps of Engineers

### Availability of Hingham/Cohasset USARC, Hingham, Massachusetts

**AGENCY:** U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, DOD.

**ACTION:** Notice.

**SUMMARY:** The Department of the Army, in accordance with the Base Closure Community Redevelopment and Homeless Assistance Act of 1994, announces that the property listed below, at the Hingham/Cohasset USARC, located 16 miles southeast of Boston, MA has been determined surplus.

**DATES:** Proposals for using the surplus property should be submitted as soon as possible to the New England Division, Army Corps of Engineers at the address listed below. Please contact the Army Corps of Engineers for the submission deadline.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Frederick W. Colman, Director, Real Estate, New England Division, Army Corps of Engineers, 424 Trapelo Road, Waltham, Massachusetts 02254-9149, telephone (617) 647-8585, fax (617) 647-8867.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** The local communities, consisting of the towns of Hingham, Cohasset and Weymouth,

have not approved a Reuse Plan and Bylaws intended to govern civilian redevelopment of the surplus property listed below. A summary of the property is as follows:

5 Storage Buildings

2 Boiler Houses

4 Production Buildings

2 Garages/Storage

2 Vehicle Maintenance Facilities

Foundations of 1 former Mess Hall Building and 1 former Barracks/ Administration Building

The above are buildings of permanent construction. Most of these buildings were constructed pre World War II era.

**Total:** Approximately 125 acres of land, 15 buildings and 2 foundations.

There are no approved expressions of interest from the DOD or Federal agencies.

Frederick W. Colman,

*Director of Real Estate, New England Division, Corps of Engineers.*

[FR Doc. 96-9385 Filed 4-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-24-M

### Availability of Surplus Land and Buildings Located at Fort Pickett, Blackstone, Virginia

**ACTION:** Notice of Availability.

**SUMMARY:** This notice identifies the surplus real property located at Fort Pickett, Blackstone, Virginia. Ft. Pickett is located adjacent to U.S. 460 and has rail and air facilities.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Donald Lutz, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Norfolk District, 803 Front Street, Norfolk, Virginia 23510-1096, telephone (804) 441-7736. For more detailed information regarding particular properties identified in this notice (i.e., acreage, floor plans, sanitary facilities, exact street addresses, etc.) contact Ms. Jody Leis, Fort Pickett, Blackstone, Virginia, telephone (804) 292-2407.

**SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:** This surplus property is available under the provisions of the Federal Property and Administrative Services Act of 1949 and the Base Closure Community Redevelopment and Homeless Assistance Act of 1994. Notices of interest should be forwarded to the Fort Pickett Local Reuse Authority, Attention: Mr. William Armbruster, Executive Director, Post Office Box 92, Nottoway, VA 23955. Telephone inquiries may be directed to Mr. John Prosis at (804) 645-8696.

Dated: April 1, 1996.

Robert P. Turner,

*Chief, Real Estate Division, U.S. Army Corps of Engineers, Norfolk District.*

[FR Doc. 96-9413 Filed 4-16-96; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 3710-EN-M

## Department of the Navy

### Notice of Public Hearing for the Draft Environmental Impact Statement for the Disposal and Reuse of Naval Station Long Beach, CA

**SUMMARY:** Pursuant to Section 102(2)(c) of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969 as implemented by the Council on Environmental Quality regulations (40 CFR Parts 1500-1508), the Department of the Navy has prepared and filed with the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency a Draft Environmental Impact Statement (DEIS) for the disposal and reuse of Naval Station (NAVSTA) Long Beach, California. The DEIS has been distributed to various federal, state and local agencies, elected officials, special interest groups, and the public. A Notice of Availability of the DEIS was published in the Federal Register on April 5, 1996. It also is on file and available for review at the Long Beach Public Library, Main Branch, 101 Pacific Avenue, Long Beach.

The Navy has analyzed the environmental effects of three alternatives, which include: (1) Development of the NAVSTA Long Beach as a marine container terminal, (2) development of the station as an intermodal railyard, and (3) a "No Action" alternative, which would maintain the station in a "caretaker" status.

No decision on the proposed action will be made until the NEPA process has been completed and the Secretary of the Navy, or a designated representative, releases the Record of Decision.

**ADDRESSES:** The Navy will conduct a public hearing on Wednesday, May 8, 1996, beginning at 7:00 p.m. at the Long Beach Public Library, Main Branch, 101 Pacific Avenue, Long Beach, California, to inform the public of the DEIS findings and to solicit comments. Federal, state and local agencies, and interested parties are invited to be present or represented at the hearing. Oral comments will be heard and transcribed by a stenographer. To assure accuracy of the record, all comments should be submitted in writing. All comments, both oral and written, will become part of the public record in the study. In the interest of available time, each speaker will be asked to limit oral